Exhibit L (previously filed as Dkt. 647-12)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

United States of America, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V

Case No. 1:23-cv-00108 HON. LEONIE H. M. BRINKEMA

Google LLC,

Defendant.

EXPERT REPORT OF **TIMOTHY SIMCOE, PH.D.**

DECEMBER 22, 2023

Notes: Large third parties are those whose total gross revenue constitutes at least 10 percent of total gross revenue among the included third-party exchanges between January 2019 and March 2023. The take rate for each group is calculated as the total net revenue between January 2019 and March 2023 for that group divided by is total gross revenue over the same period. I exclude DCN and Sharethrough from my calculations as their data pertains to US impressions only. I exclude Yahoo and Equativ as their data does not contain values for net revenue.

- [1]: Excludes Open Bidding transactions.
- [2]: Includes Index Exchange, Magnite/Rubicon, OpenX, Pubmatic, Sovrn, Xandr, and YieldMo.
- [3]: Includes Index Exchange, Magnite/Rubicon, OpenX, Pubmatic, and Xandr.
- 224. In Figure 15, I also show how the results of the comparables approach would change if I exclude two "small" ad exchanges (Sovrn and YieldMo) that each account for less than 10 percent of the total revenue on non-AdX exchanges. For the remaining "large" exchanges (Index Exchange, Magnite/Rubicon, OpenX, Pubmatic, and Xandr) the weighted average take rate based on worldwide impressions is 15.6 percent. In Appendix D, I report additional robustness checks, including a set of weighted averages based on impressions served to a US internet users.
- 225. In Section IV.A.2, I explained how both economic theory and Google's own internal analysis suggest that the comparables approach yields a conservative estimate of the take rate that Google would charge in the but-for world. Thus, in my view, the estimates reported in Figure 15 provide an upper-bound estimate of the but-for take rate that provides a reliable basis for calculating the damages incurred by the FAAs.

V.A.2. Event Study Approach

226. Section IV.A.2 describes the event study methodology that I use to obtain a second estimate of the AdX take rate that Google would charge but for its exclusionary conduct. This method uses a regression to estimate two key parameters. The first parameter, α, measures the increase in market share that Google achieved by implementing UPR. Because Google could not implement UPR in the but-for world, this parameter provides an indication of the benefits that Google derives from its exclusionary conduct, and the corresponding harm to publishers and

- estimates in column [B] are unbiased. The but-for take rate implied by the IV estimates is 16.6 percent.
- 233. The rightmost columns in Figure 17 present a set of OLS and IV results using data from only the "large" exchanges. For this sample, my estimates of α are somewhat larger than for the full sample that includes the two smaller exchanges (Sovrn and Yieldmo). For the large firm sample, my estimates of the implied but-for take rate are 15.7 percent based on the OLS model, and 16.2 percent based on the IV model.
- 234. The results in Figure 17 are robust to various changes in underlying assumptions. For example, Figure 27 in Appendix E shows estimates for the same IV and OLS models, with six variations in timing of the sample period and length of the lags in the instrumental variables. Although these alternative specifications do not have as strong IV diagnostics as those in Figure 16, they imply similar but-for take rates in the range of 15.0 to 17.3 percent.
- 235. In Figure 26 in Appendix E I report estimates of the same models in Figure 17 using data for only US impressions. Restricting my analysis to US impressions moderately decreases my estimated but-for take rates for each of my four regression specifications.
 - The event study approach does not account for how other ad exchanges would respond to a lower AdX take rate in the but-for world. In Section IV.A.2, I explained how both economic theory and Google's internal analysis suggest that takes rates could be substantially reduced in a counterfactual competitive equilibrium.²⁵² Thus, in my view, Figure 15 provides a reliable estimate of the upper-bound for the actual but-for take rate that can be used to calculate a conservative estimate of the damages incurred by the FAAs.
- 236. The but-for take rates calculated above are conservative for the reasons explained above. And even Google's own employees have discussed lower but-for take rates of 10%, 5%, or even lower for Google's exchange.²⁵³

See the discussion at paragraphs 154 to 157 above.

GOOG-TEX-00106259, at -260-61 (11/04/2017) (Payam Shodjai proposing a 5% AdX rev share for Authorized Buyer demand and undifferentiated DV360 demand, or DV360 demand without proprietary Google data and targeting); GOOG-DOJ-32034896, at -896 (06/20/2018) (Aparna Pappu proposing that for Google's

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Timothy Simcoe, Ph.D.

December 22, 2023

		"systematic review of documents produced by	"systematic review of documents produced by Google, as	
86	208	. Google, as described in Appendix G."	described in Appendix H."	Туро
		"gross revenue values associated for all non-AdX		
90	222	exchanges"	"gross revenue values associated with all non-AdX exchanges"	Туро
		"dividing the aggregated non-AdX net revenue into	"dividing the aggregated non-AdX net revenue by aggregated	
90	222	aggregated non-AdX gross revenue."	non-AdX gross revenue."	Туро
		"In Appendix D, I report additional robustness		
91	224	. checks"	"In Appendix E, I report additional robustness checks"	Туро
		"See Section V.A.1 and Appendix C.1 for a discussion		
92	227	245 of the data."	"See Section V.A.1 and Appendix C.2 for a discussion of the data."	Туро
		"instruments in many other studyes.246 The		
		potential endogeneity of prices of a well-known	"instruments in many other studies,246 to address the potential	
92	229	. econometric concern"	endogeneity of prices, a well-known econometric concern"	Clarification
		"AdX's share of worldwide open web display	"AdX's share of worldwide open web display impressions	
94	231	impressions increased by 20.8 percent."	increased by 21.2 percent."	Туро
95	233	. "The rightmost columns in Figure 17 presents"	"The rightmost columns in Figure 16 presents"	Туро
95	234	. "The results in Figure 17"	"The results in Figure 16"	Туро
		"Figure 27 in Appendix E shows estimates for the	"Figure 28 in Appendix E shows estimates for the same IV	. 7 -
95	234	same IV and OLS models"	models"	Туро
		"In Figure 26 in Appendix E I report estimates of the	"In Figure 26 in Appendix E I report estimates of the same models	. 7 -
95	235	. same models in Figure 17"	in Figure 16"	Туро
		,	"See Appendix C.3 for futher discussion. See also GAM Elasticity	. , , , ,
97	240	254 "See Appendix C.3 for futher discussion."	Workpapers."	Clarification
98	244	. "Appendix G provides a more detailed discussion"	"Appendix H provides a more detailed discussion"	Туро
99	Figure 18	. "Notes: See Appendix G for more details"	"Notes: See Appendix H.1 for more details"	Туро
		"This means that a 1 percent increase in the price of	"This means that a 1 percent increase in the price of ads on AdX	
		ads on AdX produces a 0.5 percent increase in the	produces a 0.47 percent increase in the number of impressions	
100	249	number of impressions available to AdX Advertisers."	available to AdX Advertisers."	Clarification
		"I discuss how I calculate AdX's supply elasticity based		
		on each of these Google documents in more detail in	"I discuss how I calculate AdX's supply elasticity based on each of	
101	250	. Appendix G."	these Google documents in more detail in Appendix H.2."	Туро
		"fall near the bottom of this range, my estimates		
		based on auction simulations fall near the bottom of		
101	252	this range."	"fall near the bottom of this range."	Туро
		"will be conservative relative to calculations	"will be conservative relative to calculations performed using	
		performed using four out of five of the elasticity	three out of five of the elasticity estiamtes based on Google's	
101	252	. estiamtes based on Google's internal analyses."	internal analyses."	Туро
101	Figure 20	. "See Appendix G for more details"	"See Appendix H.2 for more details"	Туро
		"Appendix D includes a recreation of Figure 22 for		
105	259	only US impressions."	[Delete]	Туро
	266	265 "and at page 233"	"and at page 232"	Туро

		"AND NON-FAA IMPRESSIONS, JANUARY 2019 -		
124	Figure 29	. JANUARY 2021"	"AND NON-FAA IMPRESSIONS, JANUARY 2019 - JANUARY 2023"	Туро
130	Figure 31	304 "Ad Server Pricing, Epom"	"'Ad Server Pricing,' Epom"	Туро
		"('Amazon DSP is a demand-side platform that	"('Amazon DSP is a demand-side platform that allows you to	
		allows you to programmatically buy ads to reach new	programmatically buy ads to reach new and existing audiences	
133	Figure 33	321 and existing audiences on and off Amazon.')"	anywhere they spend their time.')"	Туро
		"Shubham Grover, '22 Best Ad Networks for	"Shubham Grover, '22 Best Ad Networks for Publishers in 2023,'	
134	Figure 34	325 Publishers in 2023,' May 4, 2023,"	AdPushUp, May 4, 2023,"	Туро
		"('As of Apr 11 2020, Audience Netowrk no		
134	Figure 34	326 longer'"	"('As of Apr 11 2020, Audience Network no longer'"	Туро
		"'Meta Audience Network,' accessed December 20,	"'Meta Audience Network,' Meta, accessed December 20,	
134	Figure 34	326 2023,"	2023,"	Туро
		$\frac{{}_{\circ}}{\partial P^{O}} \cdot \frac{dP}{d\tau}$	$\frac{\partial D^{0}}{\partial D^{0}} \cdot \frac{dP^{0}}{\partial D^{0}}$	
 135	289		$\frac{\partial P^0}{\partial T}$ $\frac{\partial T}{\partial T}$	Туро
		Figure 35		
 138	Section H	Source "GOOG-DOJ-AT-02204351."	GOOG-DOJ-AT-02204351, at -360 (09/03/2019).	Туро
		"buy-side take rate without changing the its take		
141	306	. rate"	"buy-side take rate without changing the take rate"	Туро
			"Source: Brattle analysis of GOOG-DOJ-15140608, at -609	
141	Figure 36	. "Source: Brattle analysis of GOOG-DOJ-15140608."	(01/10/2014)."	Туро
		"where the key variable x represents the amount of	"where the key variable $b(x)$ is the bin corresponding to x , which is	
		an AdX bid expressed as a percentage of its reserve	the amount of an AdX bid expressed as a percentage of its reserve	
		price:"	price:"	
		U	$C(x) = \alpha + \beta_1 \mathbf{b}(x) + \beta_2 (\mathbf{b}(x))^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{U} \gamma_k \cdot 1[\mathbf{b}(x) = k] + \varepsilon$	
		$C(x) = \alpha + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k \cdot 1[x = k] + \varepsilon$	$C(x) = \alpha + \beta_1 \mathbf{b}(x) + \beta_2(\mathbf{b}(x)) + \sum_{k} \gamma_k \cdot \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{b}(x) = k] + \varepsilon$	
147	320	$C(x) = \alpha + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{U} \gamma_k \cdot 1[x=k] + \varepsilon$ The expression $\alpha + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$ is a quadratic		Clarification
			The expression $\alpha + \beta_1 b(x) + \beta_2 (b(x))^2$ is a quadratic function of	
147	320	function of the AdX bid size.	the AdX bid bin number.	Clarification
		"the publisher may re-auction the impression, re-		
	25.5	allocate the impression to a direct campaign, or fill it	"the publisher may re-allocate the impression to a direct	_
 149	322	. with a default or house advertisement."	campaign or fill it with a default or house advertisement."	Туро

Sim Vimlere

January 13, 2024